papilication No. (if known): 10/084,579

Attorney Docket No.: HO-P02917US9

Certificate of Express Mailing Under 37 CFR 1.10

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as Express Mail, Airbill No. EV671535186US in an envelope addressed to:

> MS Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on	October 25, 2005			
	Date			

Signature Signature						
Signate	ure					
Ronnie V	Vebb					
Typed or printed name of pe	erson signing Certificate					
	(713) 651-5146					
Registration Number, if applicable	Telephone Number					

Note:

Each paper must have its own certificate of mailing, or this certificate must identify each submitted paper.

Amendment

Amendment Transmittal (1 page)

Postcard

Copy of Office Communication



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/084,579	02/26/2002	Frederick L. Jordan	HO-P02917US9	6019
26271 759	90 09/27/2005		EXAM	INER
	& JAWORSKI, LLP		TOOMER,	CEPHIA D
1301 MCKINNI SUITE 5100		7	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
OIPE HOUSTON, TX	X 77010-3095	RECEIVED	1714	
		July 1	DATE MAILED: 09/27/200	5
78		OCT 0 4 2005		
OCT 25 2005		Docket: POLATIUS		
MADEMARKOR		Client: OYYXE		
MADEMAND		Attorney: JES	•	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

OIPE		, " /					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
OCT 25 2005	10/084,579	JORDAN, FREDERICK L.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A THAT DEWILL	Cephia D. Toomer	1714					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a repl will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH c, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 J	<u>uly 2005</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	<u> </u>						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		•					
closed in accordance with the practice under l	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 64-89 and 91-100 is/are pending in the	ne application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) <u>64-81 and 95-97</u> is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>82-89,91,92,98 and 99</u> is/are rejected	i.						
7) Claim(s) 93,94 and 100 is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
	epted or b) objected to by						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kammer. Note the attached (Dilice Action or form P1O-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority document							
2. Certified copies of the priority document3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority							
 Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burea 		eceived in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		eceived.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sur	nmary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/I	Mail Date					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office A	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 092205					
	····						

Application/Control Number: 10/084,579

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to the amendment filed July 12, 2005 in which claims 72, 79, 89, 93 and 94 were amended and claims 98-100 were added.

The 102 rejections of the claims as anticipated by Finnan or Fujiwara are withdrawn in view of the amendment to the claims.

The 112 rejection is withdrawn in view of the amendments to the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 82 and 89 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 82 and its dependents, "feed" should read fuel --.
- 4. Claim 89 is rejected because it contains improper Markush language, i.e., "selected from the group comprising of."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/084,579

Art Unit: 1714

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 91, 92, 98 and 99 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kirk (US 5,023,095).

Kirk teaches a color-stabilized food coloring composition comprising about 0.5 wt % to about 5.0 wt% beta-carotene, about 0.5 wt % to about 5.0 wt % of at least one edible oil and about 0.05 wt % to about 1.5 wt% of dl-alpha-tocopherol (see abstract; col. 2, lines 14-24). The edible oils include peanut, cottonseed and palm (see col. 3, lines 22-30). The thermal stabilizers include BHA and BHT (see col. 3, lines 31-37). Kirk teaches that the preferred antioxidant (stabilizers) is dl-alpha-tocopherol and that it is derived from plant sources such as whole grains by extraction (see col. 3, lines 38-46). Kirk teaches that the vegetable oil also functions as a diluent (see col. 6, lines 35-37).

Kirk differs from the claims in that she does not specifically teach that the plant oil extract is derived from barley. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select barley extract as the plant oil extract because Kirk teaches that dl-alpha-tocopherol is extracted from whole grains. In the absence of evidence to the contrary, this teaching suggests barley.

7. Claims 93, 94 and 100 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

Application/Control Number: 10/084,579

Art Unit: 1714

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art fails to teach or suggest the addition of meadowfoam oil or a solvent.

8. Claims 64-81 and 95-97 are allowable because the prior art fails to teach or suggest the claimed diesel fuel and meadowfoam oil as a component.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cephia D. Toomer whose telephone number is 571-272-1126. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cephia D. Toomer Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

10084579\092205